WICE-A-WEEK

Logan, Utah, TUESDAY, November 1, 1904

Volume II, Number 104

Has Cronquist Wings

"The Only" would lead us to believe so. Facts dispel the illusion.

the only real thing that ever blew the canal people \$500? down the pike and that his opponent. Joseph Knowles, is about the worst remember that these facts are a matthat ever happened. Considering the fact that the election of Mr. Cronquist will give the Democrats control of the Board of Commissioners, thus insuring the most distinguished and remarkable "only" near \$1,000 yearly in legal printing, etc., it is not strange that the quili pushers of that paper and Mr. F. J. Holton, as attorneys, are very strenuous in portraying his and our Democratic friends make the virtues and decrying the fellow against | comparison very odious to Mr. Holton, him. Inasmuch as The Republican who is the Republican nominee for stands to lose what "the only." wins District Attorney. The Republican in case of Cronquist's election, there has learned to follow up the assertions can hardly be any objection to a retal- of Democratic orators and newspapers, iation from this paper, especially if and finds they are made without the our points are well taken.

miration for Mr. Cronquist-bis farm any man with a tendency to keep his property in presentable shape possesses this doesn't indicate for a minute that | trial. Mr. Cronquist is the whole thingthat he is without flaw, the peer of all his fellow men, that this county's af- the defendants' lawyers secured a verfairs cannot be conducted without dict of not guilty, as against Mr. Nehim in the saddle. Granting that Mr. beker. Cronquist is possessed of many good qualities, isn't it just barely possible say that we have heard many good things of Mr. Cronquist, but we have hard master, that in ordinary busi- sane and he was discharged. ness matters he is not headed even acter are a matter of common knowl-THE REPUBLICAN does not tion as prepared by Mr. Nebeker. care to assert that these discrepancies that other men could occupy the com-

found it necessary to place a spill-gate other two. in the Richmond-Logan Canal. He went up the canyon by O. C. Blumel's ters; these facts are a matter of record. land, and put in his gate. Mr. Blu- We again advise you to investigate of the accounts failed to reveal the mittee to furnish them a copy of the mel went to Mr. Cronquist and told carefully every statement made by him the right of way would cost him \$10.00. Mr. Cronquist flew off the investigate carefully every one of our handle, scouted the idea of paying any own, but don't accept Democratic as- shown by the county auditor's ledger money for a right of way, and refused. sertions that we are wrong until you lawyers and finally a compromise publican has a list of these cases, with ledger. agreed upon. Mr. Cronquist failed to number, dates, and further particulars keep his part of the compromise and if they are desired. the matter went into court. After considerable litigation, Mr. Cronquist | Holton is as thoroughly qualified for again compromised with Mr. Blumel. this time by paying him \$175.00. Mr. Nebeker. Meet him, shake hands Cronquist had the added expense of with him, talk with him, go to the October 17th, 1903, assured the people his lawyers and all court costs, so that public meetings and hear him discuss his hot headedness, rashness in this public questions, and we believe you treasurer been called in they could instance could hardly have cost him will be convinced that he is not the have pointed out the trouble and less than \$500. As a result the assess- uneducated, unqualified man "the remedied it in a very few moments, ment on the stock of the Logan-Richmond Canal is now 90 cents where it was but 45 cents.

Mr. Cronquist.

THE REPUBLICAN does not care to claim that Joe Knowles is a Jay Gould or Pierpont Morgan when it comes to handling money, but could he do anything more ridiculous than this? Mr. Cronquist could have paid \$10 and everything would have been well, but that remarkable judgment of his said "no, fight it and then pay \$175, plus lawyers fees, costs, etc." Is such a

Cures Winter Cough.

J. E. Gover, 101 N. Main St. her a bottle of Horehound syrup. She used it and has been able to sleep soundly all night long. Whenever the cough troubles her, two or three doses stops the cough, and she is able to be up and well." 25c, 50c, \$1.00. Sold by Riter Bres. Drug Co.

Our friends, the enemy down the man safe to put into the commissionstreet, insist on making the people be- ership? Isn't it barely possible that lieve that Olif Cronquist, Democratic at some time he might lose his head candidate for Commissioner, is about and cost the county \$17,500, as he cost

> Just think about this, voters, and ter of record.

Holton and Nebeker

Much has been said about the comparative worth of Mr. Frank Nebeker strictest regard to facts. It is so in THE REPUBLICAN has a certain ad- this instance. We here present from the records of Box Elder County the and buildings north of this city form following tabulation which will throw a veritable garden spot, and it is al- some light on the merits of Mr. Neways a source of pleasure to pass his beker as a prosecuting attorney. This place. We can't help but feel that list includes all the cases that have been tried in Box Elder during Mr. Nebeker's term. It doesn't include many other good qualities. However, the cases where there has been no

> There have been a total of ten cases and the record shows that in five

that there others? We are happy to criminal escaped and the county lost a bond in the sum of \$500.

In another case it was decided that also heard it said many times that he the person whom Mr. Nebeker was in justice to the independent voters ence before. The ex-trearurer asked is VERY EXPENSIVE, that HE is a prsecuting for criminal assault was in-

In the two remaining cases, the jury to rashness. These phases of his char- returned a verdict of guilty of lesser offenses than charged in the informa-

This does not prove that Mr. Newholly unfit him for the office to which | beker is not a good prosecuting attorhe aspires, but is he the perfect man ney, but it does prove that in compar-"the only" would have you believe? Do ison with other lawyers he is not the these qualities add to his fitness for whole thing. In cases where he had office? We would say no, very decid- to go up against other lawyers in a SHOULD READ THIS CAREFUL- liege from W. C. Parkinson, the presedly, and cite you the following in- trial, he failed to establish himself in LY. stance of Mr. Cronquist's hot headed- a single one of the ten cases. The deness, rashness, foolishness if you will, cision was either squarely against him, to show you that it is entirely possible or else there was a conviction for some lesser offense. Of these ten cases, Mr. missioner's chair with as much credit | Holton was attorney for the defendant | report of the county treasurer show- ed to the ex-treasurer the privilege. | VERSED. to themselves and the county as could in four, T. D. Johnson in two, B. H. ing the amount of money on hand in It is presumed that the ex-treasurer Jones and Ricey Jones in one each, About three years ago Mr. Cronquist J. Call and Nels Jensen in the other

> This isn't campaign buncombe, vo-"the only." And for that matter

THE REPUBLICAN contends that Mr. the district attorneyship as is Mr. only" would have you believe.

cigars. How do you like that demo- two that had been inadvertently crats? You people who have continu. omitted. However the present clerk ed to elect Judge Hart to office uneil called the attention of the county he has received \$30,060, what do you ute pretty heavily for that snap you have been giving him? Couldn't he almost afford to pay the expenses of and filed their report in Oct. 1903, which Ottawa, Kan., writes: Every fall it the whole campaign in this county? showed a discrepancy of about \$1300.00 has been my wife's trouble to catch a And say, he's asking another \$16,000 between the books of the auditor and a severe cold, and therefore to cough of you-and yet according to "the treasurer. Later, an audit of the all winter long. Last fall I got for only" he hasn't contributed \$500 nor treasurer's books was made. The anything like it. Is it because the Judge fears that would be a poor investment this time?

> Right Ear the Better One. Hearing, as a rule, is more acute

THE DEMOCRATIC BATTLE CRY.



NOTHING IN IT-BUT COBWEBS.

-St. Louis Globe-Democrat.

The Courthouse Affair

Facts About that Democratic Mare's Nest which the Voters should Know.

Although there has been newspaper | with ridicule and a wave of his hand. discussion of various phases of the recent unfortunate difficulties at the who, knowing the facts, might be inter at this time. The following is a

office he received and filed a monthly turally courteous and obliging extendeach fund.

sand dollars. A careful examination cause and upon one occasion Mr. Larsen called the ex-auditor's attention to the fact that the general fund as

The ex-auditor after thinking for a ished him to study it out.

"NOTHING WRONG."

The Logan Journal of the date of that had either the ex-clerk or the exyet upon this occasion the ex-auditor did not appear to think there was any-So Judge Hart hasn't paid \$500 into thing to fix up and the Journal even had discovered the crime he the democratic campaign fund, eh, at and for some time thereafter inand judging from "the only's" asser- sisted that everything was straight tions he would not be guilty of con- and all that was necessary to balance tributing more than a box of bad the accounts was to enter a receipt or commissioners to the affair and they, think? Don't you really think it after consulting the county attorney. would be but fair for him to contrib- ordered that an audit of the Clerk's books of accounts be made.

The auditing committee completed former democratic officials had been requested to appear before the board of county commissioners and explain. which they did, and upon that occasion the ex-auditor still maintained that there was nothing wrong and they discovered the person who was with the right ear than with the left, tried to dismiss the whole matter

THE EXPLANATION.

The ex-county treasurer had no excourt house, a plain statement of facts planations to offer, other than the brought out in audit, contest case and neglect of the two ex-county auditors affirmed. In one case through the inattention trial, has never been made. In justice to audit his books, although the exand carelessness of Mr. Nebeker the to democratic partisans whom the treasurer had many times requested it to be done. Had it been done as local organ of opposition has strenu- the Statutes provided, he would have ously tried to mislead in this matter; been able to have detected the differthe commissioners to have the audit clined to cast their votes differently: full time that he was treasurer, and of his books go back four years, the al., April 3, 1898, REVERSED. and in justice to republicans who have a committee was appointed by the never seen a resume of the whole af- Board at their next session for that fair from beginning to end, it is purpose. This committee commencdeemed advisable to present the mat- ed an audit of the receipts and disbursements in the treasurer's office soon after, but in the meantime the plain statement of facts taken from ex-treasurer, having become alarmed records now on file. ALL VOTERS over the situation, requested the privent county treasurer, of auditing the books of account used by the county ed. Not long after the present County treasurer during the years 1900 and Clerk had taken charge of the Clerk's 1901. Treasurer Parkinson being na- affirmed. in connection with others made a care-A comparison of this report with ful audit of his books of account. the books of the county auditor dis- However the result of that audit has affirmed. closed a discrepancy of several thou- never been disclosed, and when requested by the county auditing com-

same he refused. However, the auditing committee proceeded to carry out the instructions of the county commissioners and did not agree with the amount on in due time disclosed the result of The matter was put into the hands of yourself have investigated. The Re- hand as shown by the treasurer's their labors. It is now evident that Dec. 14, 1901, affirmed. the result of the ex-treasurer's investigation, together with the knowledge moment assured Mr. Larsen that it that a committee would soon make would come out allright and admon- known the exact amount of shortage and would be called upon to pay the affirmed. same, led those interested to cast about for some means of diverting the suspicion of the public that any prin-

cipal had embezzled the money. The ex-treasurer then (after consulting with his democratic friends it is supposed) conceived the idea that a substitute could be found fourteen months after he comes to the county attorney and gravely tells him a certain person in his employ had during the fall of 1902 embezzled the county funds and at the same time preferred charges of falsification of the books of account in his office during the years 1900-1.

This knowledge had been in the possession of the ex-treasurer and exclerk for over a year.

THE SETTLEMENT.

The county auditing committee presented their final recort on March 10th, 1904 and on April 5th the extreasurer made final settlement of \$1177.81 the difference between this amount and that reported by the auditors consisting mainly of concessions made by the board to Mr. Hendricks.

DEMOCRATIC CLAIMS Our democratic friends claim that (Continued on last page)

Judge Hart's Record

Assertions of Democratic Newspapers that it is beyond compare do not Hold Good.

that nearly every one of the cases ap- affirmed. pealed from Judge Hart's court have been affirmed by the Supreme Court of the state, and giving that as a reason for supporting him for a third term, we deem it proper to reprint the decisions of the Supreme Court of Utah published to and including the tenth day of October, 1904, which show that the Supreme Court has deeided forty-eight cases in all appealed from Judge Hart's court, and that of Agricultural college was defeated tothese 25 cases have been affirmed, twenty-two have been reversed, and one case modified.

The following is a full list of the forty-eight cases above mentioned:

UTAH REPORTS.

Jones vs. New York Life Insurance o., Dec. 11, 1896, affirmed. Johnston et al. vs. Meaghr, Feb. 15. 897, REVERSED.

Armstrong, receiver, vs. The Cache Valley Land & Canal Co. et al., April 1st, 1897, affirmed.

Ensign vs. Fisher and Bland, Feb. , 1897, REVERSED.

Henderson et al. vs. Adams, March 7, 1897, affirmed.

Becker vs. Marble Creek Irrigation Co. et al., July 16, 1897, REVERSED. State vs. Carrington, Oct. 9, 1897, Jones vs. New York Life Insurance Co., Oct. 18, 1897, affirmed.

State vs. Norman, April 4, 1898

Tarpey vs. Madsen, June 1, 1898, affirmed.

State vs. Halford, Sept. 21, 1898, REVERSED.

Anderson et al. vs. Davis et al., Dec. 1898, affirmed. Crofoot, receiver, vs. Thatcher et

Harris vs. Tarbet et al., trustees April 28, 1899, REVERSED.

State vs. Charles H. Hart, Judge, May 5, 1899, REVERSED.

Brigham City vs. Crawford, June 27, 1899, REVERSED.

Hale, receiver, vs. Thomas et al. Nov. 11, 1899, affirmed. Cache County vs. Jensen, March 28,

1900, REVERSED. Snow vs. Rich, May 18, 1900, affirm-

State vs. Morgan, June 4, 1900,

Stane vs. Morgan, Feb. 2, 1901, RE

Larsen vs. Utah Loan and Trust Co., April 24, 1901, REVERSED. Smith vs. Nelson, June 10, 1901,

Smith vs. Valentine et al, June 11, 1901, modified.

Conant et al. vs. Deep Creek and Curlew Vailey Irrigation Co. et al., Sept. 17, 1901, REVERSED.

Toltec Ranch Co. vs. Babcock et al. Dec. 13, 1901, affirmed

In re-estate of Ashal Thorn, dec. Western Loan and Savings Co. vs.

Berg, Feb. 7, 1902, REVERSED. Braegger vs. Oregon Short Line Railroad Company, March 11, 1902, Toltec Ranch Co. vs. Cook et al.

March 18, 1902, affirmed. Karren vs. Karren, July 5, 1902, RE

VERSED.

Garr vs. Cranney, Dec. 10, 1902, af tirmed.

Garr et al. vs. Davidson et al., Feb , 1903, affirmed. Descret National Bank of Salt Lake

City vs. Kidman et al., March 23, 1903, REVERSED. State exerel. Riter vs. Quayle et al.

April 4, 1903, affirmed. Holland vs. Oregon Short Line Rail-

road company, June 30, 1903, affirmed. State ex rel. Hansen et al. vs. Charles H. Hart, District Judge, July . 1903, REVERSED. Farrell vs. Larsen, July 31, 1903, RE-

VERSED. Tarpey vs. Madsen, Aug. 10, 1903, REVERSED.

McCall Co. vs. Jennings et al., Sept. 12, 1903, REVERSED. Christoffersen vs. Craghead, Sept. 1903, affirmed.

PACIFIC REPORTS.

Morgan vs. Oregon Short Line Railroad company, Dec. 14, 1903, RE-VERSED.

Davidson vs. Munsey, Dec. 10, 1903, REVERSED. State vs. Morgan, Dec. 15, 1903. affirmed.

As some democrats are claiming, Johnson vs Hibbard, Feb. 26, 1904,

State vs. Davis, March 10, 1904, af-

Klenck vs. Oregon Short Line Railroad company, April 2. 1904, affirmed. State vs. LaChall et al., June 7,1904,

Defeated Again.

Portland, Or., Oct. 29.—The Utah day in a football game by the Multnomah club by a score of 29 to 0.

The first two touchdowns were made readily by the home eleven, but after the third kick-off the Utah team made seventy yards by straight line plunging through what has been considered Multnomah's almost invincible line. Utah all but scored, when it was finally held for downs on Multnomah's tenyard line. After that Multnomah had the best of the game through both halves, and found Utah's defense easi-

The only feature that saved the game from being altogether colorless was that seventy yards of steady plunging on the part of Utah. Every inch of it was hard earned and it took three downs almost every time to

U. OF U. WINS.

Over at Denver on Saturday the University of Utah defeated the U. of C. to the tune of 12 to 0. It was "a punting game characterized by sensational runs" says the dispatches. The University boys played a good game and deserved the success that was theirs. Despite the unsportsmanlike conduct of that bunch toward the A-C. of U. there are none here but glory in the success of the Salt Lake boys. It reflects a glory on the entire state.

THAT OTHER GAME.

The "stay-at-homes" of the A. C. of U. football squad, masquerading under the title of the "second team," nearly bucked the football braves from the bench on last Saturday. From the very beginning of the little football pow-wow there was nothing doing but. buck and run, and the "Scrubs" were unkind enough to want to do all of it. They did it, and the Indians, pulling their blankets around themselves. turned their faces towards the smoky north, and "hiked" homeward with a score of 25 to 0 chalked against them in the football ledger.

It was a genuine pleasure to see the 'Scrubs' play, as they had speed as well as strength, and most of the team had been "in that thar place before." Langton's work at quarter, Connely's line hitting, and Coburn's 65 yard run for a touch-down through tackle, were the bright features of the mix-up. Evidently to the youngsters from the north football was a new proposition, and the team showed a woeful lack of coaching and experience. On the defensive and offensive they could do nothing, while the locals, exerting themselves but little, did as they pleased. Both aggregations are light, and their weights are about even. It is not worth while to give a detailed write-up of the game, because it was so grotesquely one-sided.

In the second eleven the A. C. has a bunch of promising players, and with a little more coaching, should be able to make the U. of U. Preps or the Salt Lake High School hustle to keep their heads above ground.

The line	up:	
Idaho Academy.		A. C.
Woodall,	l. e.	Pugnure
Burkhart.	l. t.	Harmanset
McClain.	L g.	Nelson
Gooding,	c.	Chase
Leaton,	r. g.	Pyle
Castle,	r. t.	Smith
Leach,	r. e.	Findlay
Rolapp,	q. b.	Langton
Moon,	L. h. b.	Coburn Jeppsen
Burnett,	r. h. b.	Conley
Eastman,	f. b.	Fren Davis
Referee.	Langton: un	mire Rall

halves, 25 and 20 minutes.